| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | | 10/665,872 | MOURIER ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Deborah K. Ware | 1651 | |
| All Participants: | | Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> . | | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Dr. Gupta</u> . | | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 2 March 2007 | | Time: | | |
| | Applica | ant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | · | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Not discussed per se | | | | |
| Claims discussed: Not discussed per se | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Not discussed per se | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner phoned the attorney to see if a response no response was timely filed. | | | | oned since |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | | | | |
| | | • | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) | (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropr | riate) |